

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

CHRISTOPHER KING, J.D., et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

HON. STANLEY J. RUMBAUGH.,

Defendant.

Case No. C17-031 RSM

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS'  
MOTION TO FILE REPLY

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiffs' Motion for Leave to File Reply Memorandum in Support of Remand. Dkt. #15. On January 17, 2017, Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Remand. Dkt. #6. Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand was noted for February 10, 2017. Defendant Rumbaugh filed a timely response on February 3, 2017. Dkt. #11. On February 10, 2017, Plaintiffs filed the instant motion, with a proposed reply attached, seeking leave to file a reply to Defendant Rumbaugh's response. Dkt. #15 at 1-2, 4-6. Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 7(d)(3), as long as a reply is filed by the noting date, parties may file a reply without leave of court. Here, Plaintiffs filed a timely reply in support of their Motion to Remand. Accordingly, Plaintiffs' Reply Memorandum in Support of Remand, which is filed at pages 4 through 6 of Docket #15, will be considered by the Court. Plaintiffs' motion (Dkt. #15) is accordingly GRANTED. Briefing on Plaintiffs' Motion to Remand now appears to be complete.

DATED this 16<sup>th</sup> day of February 2017.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'R. Martinez', is written over a horizontal line.

RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE